



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/711,478	11/13/2000	Brian A. Vulpitta	MA-12957	7902

7590 02/27/2002

Vickers Daniels & Young
Suite 2000
50 Public Square
Cleveland, OH 44113-2235

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

RHEE, JANE J

[REDACTED] ART UNIT

[REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

1772

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2002

5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/711,478	VULPITTA ET AL. <i>[Signature]</i>
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jane J Rhee	1772	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) _____ is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All
 - b) Some *
 - c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The terms "substantially, about, and generally" in claims 1-15 are relative terms which renders the claim indefinite. The terms "substantially, about, and generally" are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

The phrase "slightly barrel shape" in claims 6 and 7 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The phrase "slightly barrel shape" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,5, 11, 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Downing (4286729).

Downing discloses an adhesive product comprising: a hollow cylindrical core having a diameter and a width and an outer surface; a compressible foam strip surrounding the core and a length of adhesive tape having a uniform width and a length substantially greater than the width wound in several turns about the core and the foam strip (figure 1 number 28 and 13, col. 3 line 56-60).

Downing discloses that the core comprises a thin solid tubular wall (figure 1 number 27)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4, 6-10, 12, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Downing (4286729) in view of applicant's admitted prior art (pg1 line 12-14).

Downing discloses an adhesive tape product as described above. Downing discloses that the foam strip has a generally uniform width approximately equal to the adhesive tape width and surrounds the core in a single layer (figure 1 number 28).

Downing also discloses that the foam should be thick enough to provide sufficient back tension with given vertical wall and core diameters (col. 3 line 68 and col. 4 lines 1-2).

Art Unit: 1772

Downing fails to disclose that the core has an outer surface bulging outwardly near the core's axial center giving the core a slight barrel shape. Downing fails to disclose that the foam strip surrounding the core in a single layer is about 40 mils (1mm) thick.

Applicant's admitted prior art teaches that the core has a width generally equal to the width of the tape (pg1 lines 13-14).

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the core with an outer surface bulging outwardly near the core's axial center giving the core a slight barrel shape, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in shape of the core. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In Re Rose, 105 UPQ 237 (CCPA 1995).

Since Downing teaches that the ring should be thick enough to provide sufficient back tension with give vertical wall and core diameters (col. 3 line 68 and col. 4 lines 1-2), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have modified the thickness of the foam strip surrounding the core because of the legal precedent established by prior case law In Re Rose, 105 UPQ237 (CCPA 1955) which states that a change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinarily skill in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jane J Rhee whose telephone number is 703-605-4959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-5408 for regular communications and 703-301-9999 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Jane Rhee
February 22, 2002


HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
1772

2/22/02